

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/493,750	01/28/2000	Purnendu Shekhar Ojha	NEXTAG-00308	2623	
28960 7590 01/03/2007 HAVERSTOCK & OWENS LLP 162 NORTH WOLFE ROAD			EXAMINER		
			POND, RO	POND, ROBERT M	
SUNNYVALE, CA 94086			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		3625		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MC	ONTHS	01/03/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary		Application	plication No. Applicant(s)					
		09/493,75	6 0	OJHA ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Robert M.	Pond	3625				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	14 August 2006						
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>14 August 2006</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
′=	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
٥,١	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
	ological in accordance with the practice are	dei Ex parte Qu	ayle, 1955 C.D. 11	, 400 0.0. 210.				
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1,4 and 6-31 is/are pending in th	e application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,4 and 6-31</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election re	equirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) 🔲 .	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b)	objected to by t	he Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachment 1) Notic 2) Notic 3) Inform			4) Interview Sumn Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:	nary (PTO-413) ail Date				

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

All pending claims (1, 4, and 6-31) were examined in this final office action.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 14 August 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Walker discloses a third-party offering subsidies to facilitate a deal between a buyer and a seller. The subsidy serves the same purpose as a counteroffer to enable a transaction and covers the difference that stands between buyer and seller. Regarding communication over a wide are network, Walker discloses a WAN as a network that can be used.

In general, using third-party enablers to facilitate a transaction is commonly known and doing so over a communication medium is also widely known-this is what computers do when attached to networks. The Examiner is suggesting the Applicant consider a telephone interview for further discussion.

Application/Control Number: 09/493,750

Art Unit: 3625

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Page 3

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

1. Claims 1, 4, 6, and 12-31 are rejected under 35 USC 102(e) as being anticipated by Walker (US 6,754,636 which incorporates by reference Walker US 5,794,207 hereinafter referred to as "Walker '207").

Walker teaches all the limitations of claims 1, 4, 6, and 12-31. For example, Walker discloses a comparison shopping system and method that allows a buyer (i.e. a first party) to submit production information with a desired bid price to a remotely connected purchasing system. Based on the buyer offer information, the purchasing system selects a particular product (such as a manufacturer and model number) from a plurality of possible products from a plurality of sellers (i.e. second parties), and presents products from one or more sellers that meet the buyer's criteria (see at least abstract; Fig. 1A and 1B; col. 7, lines 32-47). Walker discloses subsidies being provided by a party- a manufacturer (i.e. a third party to a retailer acting as the seller), the purchasing system (i.e. a third-party), a retailer (i.e. a third-party), and by example a credit card company (see at least col. 7, lines 43-47; col. 8, lines 4-30; col. 30, lines 45). Walker further discloses:

Application/Control Number: 09/493,750

Art Unit: 3625

• Providing information relating to a transaction between a first party and a second party to a third party via the wide area network: first, second, and third parties are connected to the Internet or wide area networks using the Web and using various computing and communication devices (see at least Figs. 1A and 1B; col. 5, lines 1-17; col. 6, line 66 through col. 7, line 24). Please note: a third-party (i.e. purchasing system) is aware of transaction occurring between buyer and seller. Third-party may further facilitate the deal by introducing a subsidy that closes the deal between a buyer and a seller; first party and second party ('207: see at least Fig. 1).

Page 4

Enabling the third party to facilitate consummation of the transaction between the first and second parties by transmitting a counteroffer or acceptance from the third party to the first party via the wide area network:

Counteroffer to provide \$50 subsidy by a third party (e.g. credit card company) if buyer agrees to submit a credit card application (see col. 8, lines 19-30); purchasing system (i.e. third-party) can consummate the deal between a buyer making an offer (i.e. bid) and seller's price (i.e. ask price). When determining whether to accept a buyer's offer for a given product, the purchasing system may determine the subsidy amount provided by the manufacturer for the product (see at least col. 37, lines 43-62); secondary offer sent to seller (see at least Fig. 26C; col. 31, lines 21-30).

Application/Control Number: 09/493,750

Art Unit: 3625

Notifying the second party of the counteroffer transmitted to the first party:
 seller receives offers from the purchasing system and communications
 offer acceptances/rejections. First party and second party who eventually
 accept an offer are notified (see at least col. 14, lines 16-26); counteroffer
 embodiments (207': see at least col. 22, lines 39 through col. 23, line18).

Page 5

- Notifying the second party of the acceptance transmitted to the first party: seller receives offers from the purchasing system and communications offer acceptances/rejections. First party and second party who eventually accept an offer are notified (see at least col. 14, lines 16-26); counteroffer embodiment with acceptance (207': see at least col. 22, lines 39 through col. 23, line18).
- Filtering: purchasing system applies business rules to filter bids based on at least one criterion (e.g. location of buyer) (see at least col. 11, lines 52-67); minimum acceptable price range by seller (207': see at least col. 23, lines 45-59).
- <u>Second bid/second ask price:</u> counteroffer embodiments (207': see at least col. 22, lines 39 through col. 23, line18).
- Business rules and criteria: purchasing system automatically applies rules associated with second party; response options (see at least col. 14, lines 38-58); waiting a predetermined time period (e.g. discounting a product as it nears its expiration period) (see at least col. 12, line 5); sending offer data to a seller on a periodic basis (see at least col. 13, lines 44-50);

Application/Control Number: 09/493,750 Page 6

Art Unit: 3625

implementing business protocol (i.e. rules that govern participation) (207': se at least col. 28, line 18 through col. 30, line 15); processing a seller's request using a minimum price range set by seller (207': see at least col. 23, lines 45-59).

- Fourth party: retailer is fourth party and can offer a subsidy to close the deal (see at least Fig. 1B (410); col. 7, lines 40-47).
- Mutually exclusive bid groups: offers sent to group of sellers within a specific subject area (207': see at least col. 18, lines 28-33).
- <u>Computer program product:</u> Inherent in Walker '207 are the structures
 necessary to permit a computer program product to execute the computer
 instructions necessary to function as a computerized reservation service.

Pertaining to claim 25

Rejection of claim 25 is based on similar rationale as noted above.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 7-10 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Walker (US 6,754,636 which incorporates by reference Walker US

Application/Control Number: 09/493,750 Page 7

Art Unit: 3625

5,794,207 hereinafter referred to as "Walker '207") in view of Chelliah (US 5,710,887).

Walker teaches all the above as noted under the 102(e) rejection and further teaches a) buyers, sellers, and retailers accessing a web server-based purchasing site over the Internet (Walker '207), b) using a browser application to submit offers/counteroffers and accept offers ('Walker '207), and c) a third party offering subsidies to facilitate sales (Walker '636), but does not specifically disclose transmitting a web page to the third party, an entry in the web page corresponding to the transaction between the first and second parties. Chelliah teaches a system and method of a buyer interacting with multiple storefronts by accessing a remote central electronic mall server using a web browser. Chelliah teaches various business rules used to provide subsidies to customers to facilitate sales, a third-party monitoring the buyer's activity on a seller's electronic storefront using a web-based dashboard application, and teaches the third-party offering subsidies (i.e. incentives) to increase sales for the electronic store front. Chelliah further teaches using active objects such as icons, buttons, and links for selection purposes (see at least col. 6, lines 37-44; col. 23, line 48 through col. 24, line 42). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at time of the invention to modify the system and method of Walker to implement a web page dashboard with an active objects as taught by Chelliah, in order to provide a third-party with an interactive user interface to facilitate sales.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert M. Pond whose telephone number is 571-272-6760. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30AM-5:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Jeff Smith can be reached on 571-272-6763. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/493,750 Page 9

Art Unit: 3625

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Robert M. Pond Primary Examiner December 20, 2006